

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/799,691	03/15/2004		Steve Wood	061270-0946	1736	
22428	7590	06/03/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AN	ID LARI	ONER	NGUYEN, KIEN T			
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20007		3714		

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			)	,				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/799,691	WOOD ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Kien T. Nguyen	3714					
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address					
THE - Ex aft - If ti - If N - Fa An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. For SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period illure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statury reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
Status	·							
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 I	February 2005.						
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	S				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	ition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7)□		•						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Applica	ition Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
· · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	d).				
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer  application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage					
Attachme	ent(s)							
_	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Not	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date					
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 per No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6, 8-10, 13, 14, 15, 20-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Canna et al. U.S. Patent 6,464,594.

Canna et al disclosed a swing having a support structure (103); a seat (105); a seat hanger (104) supporting the seat; a hub (102) supporting the seat hanger; a tray coupled to the seat; an object hanger (300) (see Fig. 2) having a support member (302) coupled to the hub and seat hanger, a hanger (315) coupled to the support member, and decorative objects (400) coupled to the hanger (applicants' claims 1, 3, 10,13, 14, and 15); the object hanger including a mounting bracket (200) (see Fig. 4) configured to engage the hub, the support member is an arm having a proximal end (303) being coupled to the mounting bracket and a distal end (302) configured to engage the hanger (315) (see Fig. 2) (applicants' claim 2); the support member including a non-motorized structure (303) to impart rotation motion to the decorative object (applicants' claim 4); the hanger (315) including a non-motorized structure (304) to impart rotating motion to the object (applicants' claim 5); the support member is positionable above the seat

Art Unit: 3714

(applicants' claim 6); the hanger including a plurality of radially extending arms (304) with each arm supports a respective object (401).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 11, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Canna et al ('594) in view of Clouser U.S. Patent 1, 390,502.

It is noted that Canna et al failed to teach the use of a toy bar as set forth in these claims. However, Clouser teaches a swing having a toy bar (20) with each end coupled to swing seat (7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Canna et al with the toy bar as taught by Clouser for the advantage of entertaining a child.

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sonner et al U.S. Patent 6,386,986 in view of Harris U.S. Patent 5,370,570.

Sonner et al disclosed a swing (1) having a seat adapted to swing about an axis (longitudinal). It is noted that Sonner et al failed to teach the use of an object hanger as set forth in claim 16. However, Harris teaches an object hanger (8) that could be mounted on a crib or cradle and the hanger could be adjusted in various locations and one of the locations is offset from the longitudinal axis of the crib. Therefore, it would

Art Unit: 3714

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the swing of Sonner et al with the object hanger of Harris for the purpose of entertaining a child.

## Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that Canna et al failed to disclose "wherein motion is imparted to the support member by swinging of the seat", a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). There is no specific structural limitation to support how the support member connected to the seat so that by the motion of swinging the seat imparts the motion of the support member.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3714

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4428. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 3714

Ktn